

radiation oncology centers worldwide. We now know that these side effects can most often be attributed to the use of outdated RT techniques. As treatment techniques started to improve, enabling to limit the dose to the organs at risk, prospective trials were initiated to evaluate the contribution of lymph node treatment to overall outcome for early stage breast cancer patients. The results of several studies were presented over the last couple of years. They demonstrate that an increased disease-free survival rate following a decrease of the risk of distant metastases can be obtained in patients with risk factors, including those with involvement of the axillary lymph nodes and those with a centrally or medially located primary tumour. Moreover, a trend towards an improved overall and (statistically significant for some of the studies) breast cancer specific survival was demonstrated. No increase was seen in the other causes of death and, at a median follow-up of around 10 years, no significant or clinically relevant increased toxicity was found, apart from a slight increase in the risk for pulmonary toxicity. The concept of "any recurrences", introduced by the EBCTCG in 2011, as important endpoint of the evaluation of the effect of all types of treatments (including locoregional ones such as surgery and RT) fits much better to the interpretation of the recently presented results. In this era of earlier diagnosis and more widespread use of adjuvant systemic treatments leading to a 10-year overall survival exceeding 80%, clinically detectable locoregional recurrences as a separate endpoint might indeed be considered as less relevant. Firstly, the patient will be affected heavily by any type of recurrence and secondly because of the complex interaction between the efficacy of systemic treatments with the influence of loco-regional treatments on overall survival. By merely focusing on locoregional control, we risk to neglect that once distant metastases are found no further efforts are undertaken to detect locoregional recurrences. By eliminating microscopically non-detectable cancer cells in the lymph nodes with RT, the risk of secondary metastasizing of those cells and thereby ultimately the overall risk of recurrence of the breast cancer will be reduced. This is in line with the findings of the EORTC trial in which a trend was seen towards more benefit for patients who were treated with both hormonal treatment and chemotherapy and less benefit for the small group of patients with 10 or more involved axillary lymph nodes: patients with a better prognosis (lower risk factors and/or better systemic therapy) experience more benefit from locoregional treatments. With modern RT techniques, the benefits of optimizing locoregional control will likely not be counterbalanced by side effects including late cardiovascular mortality. Moreover, the new ESTRO guidelines for target volume delineation clearly reduce the size of the target volumes while simultaneously considering the regional lymph nodes even more than before as a whole. We also expect that the real benefit of loco-regional RT used to be diluted in the past (including the recently presented trials) by suboptimal dose coverage of the target volumes. Therefore, we expect that with contemporary RT techniques and appropriate target volume delineation, not only a significant reduction of the dose to the organs at risk but also a much better coverage of especially the internal mammary lymph nodes is achievable, which is likely to result in a further improvement of the benefit of locoregional RT for patients with early stage breast cancer that have a risk for bearing microscopical tumor deposits in the regional lymph nodes.

SP-0017

Technical approaches to regional lymph node irradiation for breast cancer

A. Kirby¹

¹The Institute of Cancer Research and The Royal Marsden NHS Foundation Trust, Radiation Oncology, London, United Kingdom

The quality of radiotherapeutic approaches to treating locoregional lymph nodes in breast cancer is improving. This talk will review the latest evidence pertaining to each aspect of the planning and treatment pathway in order to inform

best practice. Recently published atlases capable of improving consistency in outlining target and non-target volumes will be reviewed. Using data relating outcomes to dosimetry, we will then review the evidence base for target and non-target tissue dose constraints and objectives. Different radiotherapeutic approaches including breath-hold, volumetric-modulated arc therapy, and proton beam therapy will be compared in terms of dosimetry and resource implications. Potential efficiency savings in the treatment pathway will also be discussed together with a review of the possible impact of blue-sky technologies.

Symposium: Assessment and management of rectal morbidity

SP-0018

Towards a scoring system built on six distinct radiation-induced illnesses producing late gastrointestinal effects

G. Steineck¹, R. Jörnsten², V. Skokic¹, U. Wilderäng¹, G. Dunberger¹

¹Göteborg University, Department of Oncology- Institute of Clinical Sciences, Göteborg, Sweden

²Göteborg University, Chalmers Technical Institute, Göteborg, Sweden

As shown in randomized studies, radiotherapy has a critical role when we cure prostate cancer by using multimodal treatment strategies. We frequently use radiotherapy to cure gynecological cancer. Both Intensity-Modulated Radiation Therapy and Volumetric Modulated Arc Therapy have the potential to drastically increase the ratio between possibilities for cure and risk of late effects. Still, crude measurements of patient-reported outcomes, as well as factors that may modify the how radiation cause late effects, compromise these possibilities. We lack details to provide parameters from dose-volume modelling to utilize the full potential of these new technologies. Concerning bowel health, current scoring systems of radiation-induced late gastrointestinal must be refined. Important socially invalidating symptoms are not scored. An example is unexpected defecation into clothing - not sensing the need to go to the toilet and a sudden defecation into clothing as if one were already on the toilet. We documented this symptom among 11 percent of gynecological-cancer survivors. Another example is frequent and uncontrolled noisy flatulence. Traditional scoring systems have scales that do not distinguish or clearly depict person-incidence (events per individual per time unit), intensity and duration. But, probably most important, as we learn that decreased bowel health depends on several different types of radiation-induced illness, we understand that grouping symptoms from different illnesses together in a score compromises our ability to acquire knowledge for prevention or relief. We cannot disentangle these different radiation-induced illnesses when symptoms from several illnesses are grouped together in the data sets we retrieve. Clearly, new strategies are needed. In my talk, I will propose a scoring system based on the data indicating that the at least 28 radiotherapy-induced atomized late gastrointestinal symptoms derive from six distinct illnesses, that is, six sets of risk organs or mechanisms. We have data from around 1500 survivors supporting this position. As we accumulate data for each of these six illnesses, we can define parameters in dose-volume models built on patient-reported outcomes much better than we previously could. Possibly we can also learn how, by employing probiotics or dietary changes, we can influence the interplay between the gut flora and stem-cell renewal to counteract inflammatory processes that probably are important for several of the six illnesses. Moreover, the knowledge may stimulate development of mouse models in which we can test, for example, how different bacterial species influence radiation-induced inflammation in the rectal wall. In the talk, I will give preliminary results from the establishment of such a model. A simplified nomenclature could label the six illnesses as involving processes resulting in leakage-related symptoms, urgency-related symptoms, constipation-related symptoms, symptoms